

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,567	04/30/2007	Koji Nakamura	0760-0355PUS1	5552
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
			BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/580,567 NAKAMURA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LYNN BRISTOL 1643 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/580,567

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-43 are all the pending claims for this application.

Claims 21-25 and 38-43 as "use" claims are directed to non-statutory subject
matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The claims have not been restricted below. Applicants are
requested to amend the claims to bring them into statutory compliance or to delete
them.

 Claims 8, 9, 29-31 and 35-37 are improper multiple dependent claims. Applicants are requested to amend the claims to bring them into proper dependency.

Lack of Unity: Restriction

4. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

The first presented invention in the claims relate to the dlk gene expression and the dlk protein as a biomarker in liver cancer and a method for detecting the expressed dlk gene.

In assessing whether the requirements of unity of invention of an application are met, identification of the technical features that each solution to a technical problem contributes over the prior art (special technical features) must be made. If then a technical relationship between the solutions, involving one or more of the same technical features, can be recognized, the requirements of unity of invention are said to be met.

Methods for detecting dlk gene expression relating to liver cancer were already known before the priority date of the present application. For example, Tanimizu et al.

Art Unit: 1643

(US 20040152095; published August 5, 2004; filed May 16, 2001) teach the dlk gene expression is associated with differentiating and developing hepatocyte and can be used in improving progressive liver cancer. Tanimizu teach nucleic acids and antibodies for assessing the expression level of the gene. Thus it would have been obvious to have considered the dlk gene expression as a marker for diagnosing or treating liver-related disorders and generating the reagents for performing these methods based on Tanimizu.

- 5. As no technical features can be distinguished which, in light of the prior art, could be regarded as special technical features on which a unifying concept could be based, there is no single inventive concept underlying the plurality of claimed inventions.
- 6. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The resulting separate inventions, as presently identified, have been grouped according to the order in which they have been claimed.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of detecting liver cancer comprising dlk gene expression.
- Group II. Claims 12-15, drawn to a method of detecting liver cancer comprising measuring the extracellular domain of dlk protein in blood or urine sample.

Art Unit: 1643

Group III. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to a diagnostic for detecting liver cancer comprising an anti-dlk antibody.

- Group IV. Claims 18-20, drawn to a nucleic acid for detecting liver cancer which hybridizes with mRNA or cDNA of the dlk gene and may be used as a primer or a probe.
- Group V. Claims 26-31, drawn to a therapeutic anti-cancer drug comprising as an effective ingredient an anti-dlk antibody.
- Group VI. Claim 32-37, drawn to a method of treating cancer comprising administering an anti-dlk antibody.
- 7. The methods of Groups I, II and VI are not overlapping and are not obvious variants. The methods require different steps, different intended populations and different reacents to perform the steps.
- The products of Groups III, IV and V are not overlapping and are not obvious variants. The products are chemically and structurally different from each other.
- The methods of Groups II, II and VI can be practiced with other reagents than the products of Groups III, IV and V.
- 10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Art Unit: 1643

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Election of Species

12. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species for means of detecting dlk gene expression (Group I):

Specie a) measuring dlk with an anti-dlk antibody or antigen binding fragment thereof

Specie b) measuring dlk by mRNA expression

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Art Unit: 1643

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 2, 9 and 10 are generic.

13. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are

Art Unit: 1643

added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LYNN BRISTOL whose telephone number is (571)272-6883. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,567 Page 8

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lynn Bristol/ Examiner, Art Unit 1643 Temporary Partial Signatory Authority